(1)

CVERTORRA SINIGH DHAMA CVERTORRAS SEPROT REGISHOR & 1773

-3 APR 2018



UPME0500107021014

च्यापालय - VI A. C. 7 (3.01 करें) वाद स्तु 7 49 14 चुटादीय क्वांक जसदीय





1= E APR 2018

890701129552014

In the Court of Civil Judge, Senior Division, Mecrut.

O.S. No. 74905 2014

Kuldeep Singh Lamba, aged about 74 years, son of late Sri Hara Singh Lamba, permanent resident of N-7, Green Park Extension, New Delhi.

..... Plaintiff.

Versus

Smt. Jasdeep Lamba, aged about years, daughter of Sri Jasvinder Pal Singh Vedi, wife of Sri Devender Pal Singh Lamba, residednt of N-7, Green Park Extension, New Delhi.

.... Defendant.

Sir,

The plaintiff states as follows

1. That the defendant is the real daughter-in-law (son's wife) of the plaintiff. The plaintiff is having two sons, namely, Sri Gurvinder Singh Lamba and Sri Devender Pal Singh Lamba. The defendant is the wife of Sri Devender Pal Singh Lamba, the younger son of the plaintiff.

व्यक्तिकी जिला एवं सह यायाधीरा भेर एसम् एवेट

KUNSI.

48

- That Sri Devender Pal Singh Lamba son of the plaintiff got married with the defendant about 10 years back.
 - 3. That the plaintiff is a businessman whereas the defendant is a house wife.
 - that the defendant is the daughter-in-law of the plaintiff, the plaintiff purchased the properties, detailed at the foot of the plaint, in the Benami name of the defendant, although the plaintiff is the actual owner of the said properties, detailed at the foot of the plaint. Entire investment in purchasing the said properties in the Benami name of the defendant was made by the plaintiff from his own independent income.
 - That the plaintiff purchased a plot situated at Takshila Colony, Meerut, detailed in Schedule-A at the foot of the plaint, vide sale deed dated 1/9/2007 from Dr. Gyanendra Singh son of Sri Rohan Singh and Smt. Suman Singh wife of Dr. Gyanendra Singh, residents of village Badhpura, District Bulandshahar, in the Benami

Jeldosh Aarna

name of the defendant and the plaintiff paid the sale consideration of the said sale deed from his own income.

That similarly the plaintiff purchased the Abadi land, detailed in Schedule-B at the foot of the plaint, in the Benami name of the defendant vide sale deed dated 13/10/2008, executed by its previous owner Sri Noor Ilahi son of Sri Mohammad Yaseen, resident of 189, Ismile Nagar, Meerut. The plaintiff also purchased the Abadi land, detailed in Schedule-C at the foot of the plaint, from the same person Sri Noor Ilahi son of Sri Mohammad Yaseen vide sale deed dated 31/10/2008 in the name of the defendant. Similarly the plaintiff also purchased the Abadi land, detailed in Schedule-D at the foof of the plaint, vide sale deed dated 6/1/2011 from its previous owners Sri Narendra Kumar and others in the Benami name of the defermant and the plaintiff also purchased the Abadi land, detailed in Schedule-E at the foot of the plaint, vide saie deed dated 21/2/2014 in the Benami name of the defendant from Sri Mohammad Igbal son of Sri Moharamad Umar and others.

१६५००० एवं स्टब्स् स्थायावीच भेरड स्थाय क्रिक

the than

httns://f4mail

- 7. That the defendant did not invest any single penny in purchasing the said land and as the defendant is the family member of the plaintiff, being his daughter-in-law, as such the said transactions were purely Benami transactions.
 - 8. That the defendant is aware with the fact that the plaintiff is the actual owner and in possession of the said land, detailed at the foot of the plaint, but now since about I week the defendant has started claiming that she is the actual owner of the said properties instead of Benami owner and the defendant yesterday has finally refused to refrain from her said illegal act and the defendant is in a hurry to transfer the said properties to others claiming herself as the real owner of the same. As such the plaintiff has no option but to file the present suit.
 - 8. That cause of action for the suit arose when the plaintiff purchased the properties, detailed at the foot of the plaint, in the Benami name of the defendant through various sale deeds and the plaintiff remained the actual

Juldall Astha

owner of the said properties and 1 week back and yesterday when the defendant has finally refused to accept the plaintiff as actual owner of the said properties, in District Meerut within the jurisdiction of the learned court..

- 10. That for the purpose of jurisdiction and payment of court fees, the suit is valued at Rs.1,00,00,000/- (Rs. One Crore) tentatively and a fixed court fees of Rs. 200/- is being paid.
 - 11. That the plaintiff prays for the following reliefs:
 - That by a decree of declaration in favour of the plaintiff against the defendant, it be declared that the plaintiff is the actual owner and in possession of the land, detailed at the foot of the plaint, and the transactions in favour of the defendant are only

 Benami and the defendant is not the actual owner of the said land, detailed at the foot of the plaint.
 - (c) That any other relief, which the learned court

plaintiff against the defendant.

That cost of the suit be awarded in favour of the



Schedule-C:

Land measuring 5485.47 Sq. Metre, part of khasra numbers 394 and 395, situated at Garh Road, village Kamalpur, Pargana, Tehsil and District Meerut, and is bounded as follows:

East-land khasra number 397.

West-Chak Road.

North-Remaining land of khasra number 394.

South-land of others.

Schedule-D:

Land measuring 8880 Sq. Metre, part of khasra number 393, situated at Garh Road, village Kamalpur, Pargana, Tehsil and District Meerut, and is bounded as follows:

East-359 feet 8 Inches, land of Sri Irfan and others.

West-370 feet, Chak Road.

North-243 feet, land of the plaintiff.

South-281 feet, Chak Road.

Schedule-E:

Land measuring 2340 Sq. Metre, part of khasra numbers 382 and 383, situated at Garh Road, village Kamalpur,

Sohony

Pargana, Tehsil and District Meerut.

Verification:

Verified that the contents of para

Numbers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 & 8 are true to my personal knowledge and those of para numbers 9, 10 and 11 are based on legal advise, which I believe to be true.

Verified at Meerut on 10/7/2014.

Plaintiff,

Through Counsel:

GAJENDRA SINGH DHAMA Advocate CIVIL COURTS, MEERUT. Regn. No. - 851/73

CIVIL COURT.

1-3 APR 2018